## **BEFORE**

## THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2006-6-G - ORDER NO. 2006-433

JULY 26, 2006

IN RE:	Annual Review of the Purchased Gas Adjustment and Gas Purchasing Policies of	<ul><li>) ORDER AMENDING</li><li>) PRIOR ORDER</li></ul>
	South Carolina Pipeline Corporation	)

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (the Commission) on a request by South Carolina Pipeline Corporation (SCPC or the Company) for a modification/amendment to Commission Order No. 2006-389, dated June 19, 2006. The Company expresses a concern that Paragraph six at page 3 of the Order could be misconstrued by a non-party in a subsequent proceeding as modifying the language agreed upon by the parties in Paragraph 4(vi) of the Settlement Agreement designated as Order Exhibit 1.

The Company states that it does not seek reconsideration of the Order, but is requesting a modification/amendment pursuant to 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-880(B) (1976) so as to include within the Ordering Provision the following sentence, which was not contained in the Order: "For calendar year 2006 this obligation shall be a pro-rata obligation (as to the total requirements for the period of intrastate operations) and shall end when and if the Company transitions to interstate jurisdiction."

Adding this additional language to the order would, according to SCPC, conform it precisely with the Settlement Agreement. The Ordering Provision would then read as follows:

6. SCPC should continue to assign 20,000 dts per day of the least expensive daily delivered gas volume to the weighted average cost of gas (subject to the flexibility granted the Company during the summer period of April through October of assigning 10,000 dts per day of the 20,000 dts per day requirement in accord with its varying load demand). For calendar year 2006 this obligation shall be a pro-rata obligation (as to the total requirements for the period of intrastate operations) and shall end when and if the Company transitions to interstate jurisdiction.

Clearly, 26 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-880(B) (1976) allows this Commission to modify or amend its Orders as requested by SCPC. We agree that the modification to Order No. 2006-389 requested by the Company would conform that Order to Paragraph 4(vi) of the Settlement Agreement in this case, which is designated as Order Exhibit 1 to Order No. 2006-389. No party has objected to the change. Accordingly, we grant the relief sought by SCPC, and we hereby approve the modification/amendment to Order No. 2006-389 proposed by the Company. Ordering Paragraph 6 shall now read as stated above. All other provisions of Order No. 2006-389 remain unchanged, and are herein incorporated by reference.

This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further Order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

G. O'Neal Hamilton, Chairman

Main Othamilda

ATTEST:

C. Robert Moseley, Vice-Chairman

(SEAL)